Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/523,180	KOCH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Fred M. Teskin	1713
Il Participants: Status of Application: <u>Amended</u>		
(1) <u>Fred M. Teskin</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Bushman</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 September 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A	·	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Authorization given to amend claim 1 as per attached Examiner's amendment in order to correct improper Markush language and place the application in condition for allowance.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Meller N/A		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	nature – if appropriate)